Speaker						_ Reviewer					
<b>INTRODUCTION.</b> The introduction did what it was supposed to do: 1) get audience's attention, 2) set out topic and thesis, and 3) provide talk's organization.											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
<b>FOCUS/ORGANIZATION:</b> The topic was the lit review process/steps/understanding. The organization was obvious, logical, and easy to follow. The speaker used signposts to guide the audience through the talk.											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
<b>OVERALL</b> <u>ARGUMENT</u> AND <u>SUPPORTING EVIDENCE</u> . The thesis was appropriate, clear, and supported by relevant and useful evidence											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> The conclusion was clear, useful, and appealing.											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
<b>DELIVERY:</b> Use of notes, podium/table, and visual aids, as well as dress, posture, eye contact, volume/projection, movements, and mannerisms/gestures, were effective.											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	
<b>POWERPOINT:</b> Relevance, clarity, usefulness, interest, and mechanics effectively supported the talk.											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Clarify scor							, .				
Point out w	hat wa	s ineffe	ective, e	e.g., in	need of	more	(or less	s) inforr	nation/	explanation.	